

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**
11

12 G.E., individually and on behalf of all
13 others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 STIIIZY INC.,

17 Defendant.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CASE NO. CV 25-490-GW-SSCx

**ORDER GRANTING JOINT
STIPULATION TO EXTEND
DEFENDANT'S TIME TO
RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT**

Assigned to Hon. George H. Wu

1 The Court, having considered the Joint Stipulation to Extend Defendant's
2 Time to Respond to the Complaint (the "Stipulation") between the above-captioned
3 Plaintiff and Defendant, and finding that good cause exists,

4 **HEREBY ORDERS THAT:**

- 5 1. The Stipulation is GRANTED;
- 6 2. Defendant's deadline to respond to the Complaint is extended pending
7 a ruling on the motion to consolidate in this case (Docket No. 19). If the Court
8 denies the motion, Defendant shall respond to the complaint within 30 days of
9 receiving notice of the denial. If the Court grants the motion, Defendant's
10 obligation to respond to the complaint is stayed pending the filing of a consolidated
11 complaint, which is the complaint that Defendant shall respond to and shall respond
12 to within 30 days of receiving notice of its filing.

13
14 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

15
16 DATED: March 12, 2024

17
18 

19 HON. GEORGE H. WU,
20 United States District Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28